UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,301	03/09/2004	Robert J. Svoboda	DP-308342	4465
7590 07/18/2008 PAUL MARSHALL		EXAMINER		
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			AHMED, SHAMIM	
Legal Staff, Mail Code: 480-410-202 P.O. Box 5052		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Troy, MI 48007-5052			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/797,301	SVOBODA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shamim Ahmed	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 .</u>	luno 2008					
·—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
dioded in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form P10-132.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
B) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/9/04. 5) ☐ Other:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· — — —					

Application/Control Number: 10/797,301 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-24 and 26) in the reply filed on 6/6/08 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Berkel et al (6,663,999) as supported by Sprenkle et al (2008/0038611).

Van Berkel et al disclose a process of fabricating an improved ceramic assembly, namely solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) including the step of providing an auxiliary or

Application/Control Number: 10/797,301 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

intermediate layer (2), resemble as the claimed stabilizer layer between electrolyte and anodic support layer with a porosity about 40 volume % (col.2, lines 28-50);

Van Berkel et al teach that auxiliary layer having a thickness of between 3-20 µm; sintering is performed to form the ceramic assembly comprising electrode, electrolyte and the auxiliary layer (stabilizer layer as claimed) (col.2, lines 65-col.3, lines 10).

As to claim 2, Van Berkel et al teach that the auxiliary layer has less thickness than an electrode thickness (see the figure and col.3, lines 30-33).

As to claim 18, Van Berkel et al teach that the electrolyte and the auxiliary layer comprise the same material (col.2, lines 29-30 and col.3, lines 3-4).

Van Berkel et al fail to teach the difference between the electrolyte sintering shrinkage and the stabilizer sintering shrinkage is less than or equal to +/ 1% and the ceramic assembly has less than or equal to about 5.0 degree camber.

However, Van Berkel et al also teach that such improved assembly allows the so-called sintering shringage to be avoided (col.1, lines 38-49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to have the similar effect and property of the sintered material as supported by Sprenkle et al (2008/0038611).

Sprenkle et al illustrate a similar process condition including the introduction of bi-layer electrolyte with stabilized YSZ layer that reduce or eliminate camber (see paragraphs0030 and 0041).

### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/797,301 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri (12:30-10:30) Every Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shamim Ahmed/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

SA July 17, 2008